

ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE EIDGENÖSSISCHE TECHNISCHE HOCHSCHULE – LAUSANNE POLITECNICO FEDERALE – LOSANNA SWISS FEDERAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY – LAUSANNE

Faculté Informatique et Communication Introduction to Natural Language Processing (Ms; CS-431) Chappelier, J.-C. & Rajman, M.

# CS-431 Hands On Text Classification Solutions

J.-C. Chappelier

M. Rajman

v. 2021118 – 1

### **QUESTION I**

## [3 pt]

The Naïve Bayes algorithm is used in the framework of a sentiment analysis application to determine, for any input tweet, which, among a predefined set of sentiments, best corresponds to the mood expressed in the tweet.

Does the performed tweet classification task have to be supervised in this case?

[**v**] yes [] no [] it depends on the implementation

Let us assume that only two sentiments are considered ("joyful" and "sad") and that typically 70% of the tweets are "joyful".

To which sentiment would the Naïve Bayes algorithm associate a tweet indexed by only two terms  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ , if:

- 10% of the occurrences of indexing terms in "joyful" tweets and 20% of the occurrences of indexing terms in "sad" tweets are  $w_1$ ; while
- 30% of the occurrences of indexing terms in "joyful" tweets and 25% of the occurrences of indexing terms in "sad" tweets are  $w_2$ ?

[] sad [ / ] joyful [] undecidable

 $P(\text{sad}) \times P(w_1|\text{sad}) \times P(w_2|\text{sad}) = 0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.25 = 1.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$  $P(\text{joyful}) \times P(w_1|\text{joyful}) \times P(w_2|\text{joyful}) = 0.7 \times 0.1 \times 0.3 = 2.1 \cdot 10^{-2}$ 

#### **QUESTION II**

Consider the following matrix of measures over a set of three items:

0	5	2
5	0	2
2	2	0

What type(s) of measure is this matrix compatible with?

- [ $\checkmark$ ] A dissimilarity only. 5 > 2 + 2
  - [] A dissimilarity and a distance/metric.
  - [] None of the two

#### **QUESTION III**

## [4 pt]

You're working on an email classification software (and have some corpus).

In order to better understand your corpus, you plan to cluster it using dendrograms. To do so:

- you represent each email body by the empirical probability distribution over the tokens it contains (simply estimated by their relative frequencies);
- and make use of the Hellinger distance.

What is the distance between the following two email bodies:

email 1: ski sun money sun

email 2: sun ibm sun apple money sun money sun

The non-zero components of two vectors are (order: ski, sun, money, ibm, apple):

email 1:  $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, 0, 0)$  email 2:  $(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8})$ 

The Hellinger distance between the two is then:

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{2}{8}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

[2 pt]

#### **QUESTION IV**

You run the dendrogram clustering algorithm using complete linkage. At some point, it reaches a state where what remains to be clustered are the two clusters,  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , that have already been build so far, and two email bodies,  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ . Here are the distances between each of them:

	$B_1$	$B_2$	$G_1$	$G_2$
$B_1$	0	0.7	0.6	0.2
$B_2$	0.7	0	0.5	0.3
$G_1$	0.6	0.5	0	0.4
$G_2$	0.2	0.3	0.4	0

Draw the dendrogram corresponding to the final clustering.

The two closest ones are  $B_1$  and  $G_2$  which will thus be merged in a new cluster, let's say  $G_3$ , the distances of which with the other two are (complete linkage):

- with  $B_2: 0.7$
- with  $G_1: 0.6$

The new closest group thus consist of  $B_2$  and  $G_1$ , ending up in the following tree:

