

## CS-431 Hands On Part-of-Speech tagging (part 1)

### Solutions

J.-C. Chappelier

M. Rajman

v. 20211020 – 1

#### QUESTION I

[1 pt]

Assume that, in the word sequence “*iron shaped cloth*”, the word “*shaped*” is replaced by an Out-of-Vocabulary (OoV) form that your spell checker was not able to correct, nor your morphological analyzer to analyze. Select among the following options the most adequate one to decide which possible PoS tags should be associated with the OoV form:

- All the PoS tags
- All the PoS tags corresponding to open grammatical categories
- The most frequent PoS tag

#### QUESTION II

[2 pt]

For this question, *one or more* assertions can be correct. Tick only the correct assertion(s). There will be a penalty for wrong assertions ticked.

When using a probabilistic approach to find the optimal tagging for the sentence “*young birds fly*”, what does the conditional probability

$$P(\text{“birds”, “fly”} \mid t_2 t_3)$$

represent, provided that no additional specific hypotheses are made:

- the probability that the word “birds” appears at position 2 conditioned by the tag  $t_2$  only, and the word “fly” appears at position 3 conditioned by tag  $t_3$  only;
- the probability that the word “birds” and the tag  $t_2$  appear at position 2, and the word “fly” and the tag  $t_3$  appear at position 3;
- the probability that the sequence (“birds”, “fly”) appears at the end of the sentence, conditioned by the tag pair  $(t_2, t_3)$ .

The full way to write it would be:

$$P(W_2 = \text{“birds”}, W_3 = \text{“fly”} | T_2 = t_2, T_3 = t_3)$$

### QUESTION III

[2 pt]

For this question, *one or more* assertions can be correct. Tick only the correct assertion(s). There will be a penalty for wrong assertions ticked.

Indicate which of the following formulas are strictly equal to the conditional probability

$$P(\text{“young”}, \text{“birds”}, \text{“fly”} | t_1, t_2, t_3)$$

provided that no specific additional hypotheses are made:

- $P(\text{“young”} | t_1) \cdot P(\text{“birds”} | t_2, \text{“young”}, t_1) \cdot P(\text{“fly”} | t_3, \text{“young”}, t_1, \text{“birds”}, t_2)$
- $P(\text{“young”} | t_1, t_2, t_3) \cdot P(\text{“birds”} | \text{“young”}, t_1, t_2, t_3) \cdot P(\text{“fly”} | \text{“young”}, \text{“birds”}, t_1, t_2, t_3)$
- $P(\text{“young”} | t_1) \cdot P(\text{“birds”} | t_2) \cdot P(\text{“fly”} | t_3)$
- $P(\text{“young”} | t_1) \cdot P(\text{“birds”} | t_2) \cdot P(\text{“fly”} | t_3) \cdot P(t_1) \cdot P(t_2 | t_1) \cdot P(t_3 | t_2)$

### QUESTION IV

[2 pt]

For this question, *one or more* assertions can be correct. Tick only the correct assertion(s). There will be a penalty for wrong assertions ticked.

When using an HMM to find the optimal tagging of a 3 word sentence “ $w_1 w_2 w_3$ ”, what probability should be maximized?

- $P(w_1, w_2, w_3 | t_1, t_2, t_3)$

[ ✓ ]  $P(t_1, t_2, t_3 | w_1, w_2, w_3)$

[ ✓ ]  $P(w_1, w_2, w_3 | t_1, t_2, t_3) \cdot P(t_1, t_2, t_3)$

[ ]  $P(w_1 | t_1, t_2, t_3) \cdot P(w_2 | w_1, t_1, t_2, t_3) \cdot P(w_3 | w_1, w_2, t_1, t_2, t_3)$

## QUESTION V

[2 pt]

① Cross out the elements that can be ignored in the following conditional probability, when tagging the sentence “*young birds fly*” under the “*limited lexical conditioning*” hypothesis:

$$P(\text{“fly”} | \text{“~~young~~”; “~~birds~~”; } t_1, t_2, t_3)$$

② Cross out the elements that can be ignored in the following conditional probability, when tagging the sentence “*young birds fly fast*” under the “*limited scope for syntactic dependencies (1 neighbor)*” hypothesis:

$$P(t_3 | \text{~~t}_1, t_2, t_4)~~$$

## QUESTION VI

[4 pt]

For this question, *one or more* assertions can be correct. Tick only the correct assertion(s). There will be a penalty for wrong assertions ticked.

① When using an HMM to find the optimal tagging of the sentence “*young ducks fly fast*”, where all the words are considered as potentially ambiguous, indicate which of the following assertions are true under the “*limited lexical conditioning*” and “*limited scope for syntactic dependencies (1 neighbor)*” hypotheses

[ ✓ ] The tagging of “*fast*” depends on the one of “*fly*”.

[ ✓ ] The tagging of “*fly*” depends on the one of “*fast*”.

[ ✓ ] The tagging of “*young*” depends on the one of “*fast*”.

[ ✓ ] The tagging of “*fast*” depends on the one of “*young*”.

② Same question, but for the sentence “*young birds fly fast*”, where all the words but “*birds*” are considered as potentially ambiguous:

[ ✓ ] The tagging of “*fast*” depends on the one of “*fly*”.

- The tagging of “*fly*” depends on the one of “*fast*”.
- The tagging of “*young*” depends on the one of “*fast*”.
- The tagging of “*fast*” depends on the one of “*young*”.